	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/655,710	KHANUJA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan D. Coe	1655
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Susan D. Coe.	(3)	
(2) Matthew Mulkeen.	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>8 July 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record		
Claims discussed: all pending		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Mulkeen agreed to the examiner's amendment which places the case in condition for allowance		
Part III.		·
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Anome Le		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)